

CF 102

SINGLE BENCH

①

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR
CHHATTISGARH

W. P. (S) No. 41 / 2006

PETITIONER

Smt. Bhalentina Bada (Now Tirky), W/o. Shri Bofas Tirky, aged about 47 years, Assistant Teacher, Govt. Primary Girl's School – Dumariya, Block – Baikunthpur, Distt. – Korea (C.G.)

P.H. No. 7208106
Presented by Smt. Bhalentina Bada
dated 23/12/06

VERSUS

RESPONDENT'S

1. State of Chhattisgrh, Through – The Secretary Department of Tribal Welfare/Education D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)
2. Commissioner, Department of Tribal Welfare, Chhattisgarh Government Raipur
3. Assistant Commissioner, Tribal Welfare, District- (Baikunthpur) Korea (C.G.)
4. District Education Officer, Distt.- (Baikunthpur) Korea (C.G.)

RECEIVED-COPY
23/12/06
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR



WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION
OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF SUITABLE WRIT, WRITS,
DIRECTION ETC. TO DO JUSTICE IN THE MATTER.

The petitioner above named most respectfully submits as under:-

1. **PARTICULARS OF THE PETITIONER.**

As mentioned in the cause title.

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक W.P.(S) No. 41 सन् 2007

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
15.1.2007	Mr. Anil Singh Rajput, Advocate for the petitioners. Mr. Arvind Dubey, Panel lawyer for the respondents/State. With the consent of learned counsel appearing for the parties, the matters are heard finally and disposed of. Common order dictated in W.P. (s) No. 40 of 2007 in open Court, passed separately. A copy of this order be placed on record in other connected writ petition. Sd/- Satish K. Agnihotri Judge

Thakur

P.T.O.

22/1

25

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W. P.(S) No. 40 of 2007

Petitioner : Smt. Jyoti Tirky
Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

And

W. P.(S) No. 41 of 2007

Petitioner : Smt. Bhalentina Bada (Now Tirky)
Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

SB: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Satish K. Agnihotri, J

Present: Mr. Anil Singh Rajput, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Arvind Dubey, Panel lawyer for the respondents/State.

ORDER

(Passed on this 15th Day of January, 2007)

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners as well as for the State/respondents jointly submit that the facts of the present writ petitions are similar to that of in W. P. (S) No. 5976/2006 (Sushil Tiwari and others vs. State Government of Chhattisgarh & others), which was allowed vide order dated 7.11.2006. They further submit that the question of law involved is also the same.
2. In view of the above stated submissions made by learned counsel for the parties, these petitions are allowed in terms of the order dated 7.11.2006 passed by this Court in W.P. (S.) No. 5976/2006 (Sushil Tiwari and others vs. State Government of Chhattisgarh & others). No order as to costs.
3. A copy of this order be placed on record in other connected writ petition.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge